

## *Full Length Research Paper*

# **Selected chemical properties of soil in the traditional irrigation schemes of the Mbulu district, Tanzania**

**Joachim H. J. R. Makoi and Patrick A. Ndakidemi**

Faculty of Applied Science, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Cape Town Campus, Keizersgracht, P.O. Box 652, Cape Town 8000, South Africa.

Accepted 31 March, 2008

**A survey of chemical soil properties was conducted on six selected irrigation schemes of the Mbulu district, northern Tanzania. The focus of the study was to establish the status of the main mineral elements important for plant growth and crop production. Soil samples were collected from different sites and were analysed for physical chemical characteristics leading to the assessment of the soil fertility status by means of these main elements. The results showed variations in fertility status in the selected sites. Based on guidelines of soil mineral elements contents established elsewhere, the results showed that soil pH and excessive sodium in the soil followed by calcium in all sites; soil organic matter in 73% and cation exchange capacity in 50% of the sites are the major soil fertility constraints to crop production in the area. Crop production was also constrained by nitrogen in 50% and phosphorous in 46% of the sites. The information from our study could be used in designing fertiliser studies to establish nutrient requirements for different crops grown in these areas.**

**Key words:** calcium, cation exchange capacity, crop production, excessive sodium, fertility constraints, management practices, soil organic matter, survey

## **INTRODUCTION**

Many traditional irrigated agricultural systems in the tropics are characterised by negative mineral element balances essential for plant growth and development (Ngailo et al., 1999) due to extensive farming, excessive leaching, soil degradation, plant removal without fertiliser application and low purchasing power to replenish the depleted mineral elements in the system (Mokwunye et al., 1996; Ngailo et al., 1999; Sanchez, 2002). The Mbulu irrigation schemes are among the potential areas in Tanzania which practise both rain-fed and traditionally-managed supplementary irrigation agricultural activities. Among the important crops of national interest that are cultivated in these areas are: garlic, onions, cabbage, bananas, coffee, wheat, maize, sorghum, millet and beans. In Tanzania, the Mbulu district is the source of high quality garlic and onions, some of which are smuggled to neighbouring countries.

Due to complex interactions between several factors

such as soil and crop management, farming systems, and soil fertility, production of both food and cash crops have declined, thus, threatening food security in these areas. For example, the current maize grain yields in these irrigation schemes are less than  $1 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  (Ngailo et al., 1999). At present there is no research information to quantify the influence of soil fertility factors and how they can affect crop yields. However, studies in similar environments of continuous cultivation of the land without proper management practises in some areas of Africa showed soil fertility decline (Smaling and Braun, 1996; Smaling et al., 1997; Scoones, 2001; Ndakidemi and Semoka, 2006). Therefore, the decline in crop yields in many areas of the Mbulu district is likely to be related to deterioration of soil fertility.

Soil fertility key indicators have previously been reported by several researchers (Tisdale and Oades, 1982; Paustian et al., 1997; Monreal et al., 1997; Brady and Weil, 2002). They include soil pH, soil organic matter; cation exchange capacity; exchangeable bases, salinity and sodicity status and the amount of extractable N, P, K, Mg and Ca mineral nutrients. These indicators are realistic in predicting plant growth and development,

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: [NdakidemiP@cput.ac.za](mailto:NdakidemiP@cput.ac.za).  
Tel: +27214603128. Fax: +27214603887

a need for regular evaluation to establish their levels in the soil in order to achieve sustainable crop productivity in cropping systems. If unchecked, their limitation may result in complex mineral elements imbalances, consequent poor soil quality, and decline in soil productivity and crop yields (Angers et al., 1992; Riffaldi et al., 1994).

Thus, assessment and understanding of the soil fertility status based on the aforementioned attributes will not only provide strategies for soil fertility management and land development, but also provides input into the design and planning of crop nutrition packages in the study areas. To date, soil fertility survey studies in Tanzania are very scarce in the literature (Muchena and Kiome, 1995; Ndakidemi and Semoka, 2006). This study was conducted to assess the soil fertility status in the selected irrigation schemes of Harsha, Diyomat, Dongobesh, Tumati, Mangisa and Guwangw in the Mbulu District, Tanzania.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Location, topography and climate of the study areas

The Irrigation schemes of Harsha, Diyomat, Dongobesh, Tumati, Mangisa and Guwangw are located in the Mbulu District, Manyara region, in the northern part of Tanzania (3°10' and 4°16' S and longitudes 34°47' and 37°56' E). The altitude of the study area ranged from 1000 to 2400 m asl. The rainfall pattern in the Mbulu District is largely characterised by 6 wet months and 6 dry months. The onset of the rains is in November, with monthly totals between 100 and 175 mm from December through May. December is the wettest month in the Mbulu District. The mean monthly temperature in the selected schemes range between 15°C to 20°C. Potential evaporation as computed by Penman equation is 1543 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>. Evapotranspiration is generally at its maximum in October just before the onset of the rains and is lowest at the end of May, which is the end of rainy season. Annual relative humidity is 65%, ranging between 55% (October) and 75% (December/April). The major soils in the study area can generally be classified as Phaeozems; Nitisols and Planosols URT (2006).

### Soil sampling and physical-chemical characterisation

Surface soil samples at a depth of 0 to 20 cm were collected from 22 sites each with similar characteristics chosen on the basis of pedogeomorphic approaches which also ensured high representation of the study area as reported by Makoi (2003). Thirty composite samples were collected from each site, bulked and mixed. A representative sample of about one kg soil from each site was then filled in a labelled plastic bag and sent to the Selian Agricultural Research Institute Arusha, Tanzania for analysis. These samples were air dried and ground to pass through a 2mm-sieve. Particle size analysis was determined by the Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Day, 1965). Organic carbon was done by Walkley and Black wet acid dichromate digestion method (Allison, 1965); soil organic matter was calculated as 1.72 x %OC (Walkley and Black, 1934) pH was determined by a pH meter using soil to water ratio of 1:2.5 as described by Peech (1965) whereas total nitrogen was done by semi-micro Kjeldahl digestion (Bremner, 1965) followed by ammonium distillation and titrimetric determinations.

Exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, Na) and CEC determination depended on soil pH. In soils with pH < 7.5, subsequent percolation with 1M ammonium acetate (NH<sub>4</sub>OAc) at pH 7, ethanol and acidified 1MKCl in the first percolate was followed (Chapman, 1965), for soils

with pH > 7.5 and high carbonates contents, the method recommended by Polemio and Rhoades (1977) was followed. Determination of K and Na was done with flame photometer, Ca and Mg was done with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Hesse, 1971). Cation exchange capacity was done following the method by Chapman (1965). Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured by a conductivity meter from the soil solution directly following the procedure described by Piper (1942). Available phosphorus was determined following the Olsen method of extraction (Olsen et al., 1954). Available P was extracted spectrophotometrically (Rodriguez et al., 1994) by reacting with ammonium molybdate using ascorbic acid as a reductant in the presence of antimony method of Murphy and Riley (1962). The total Exchangeable Bases (TEB) were obtained as the sum of exchangeable Ca, Mg, K and Na. Percent base saturation (%BS) was obtained by dividing total exchangeable bases by the cation exchange capacity multiplied by 100. Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP %) was obtained by dividing total exchangeable sodium by the cation exchange capacity multiplied by 100. The K:TEB was obtained by dividing potassium by the total exchangeable bases.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Soil reaction

Results from the survey have shown that pH ranged from 7.2 - 8.8 in the top soils (Table 1). Forty five percent of the sites were rated as neutral to mildly alkaline with pH ranging from 7.2 - 7.8 (Table 1, NSS, 1990), suggesting that there is no threat to the availability of mineral elements in most crops (Schmidt, 1982; Sanchez et al., 2003). Soil pH is an important indicator in assessing soil fertility and its environment. For example, the normal pH range for optimal mineral elements availability for most crops is 6.0 - 7.5 (Sanchez et al., 2003). However, 55% of the surveyed sites had soil pH ranging from 7.9 - 8.8, rated as moderately to strongly alkaline (Table1; NSS, 1990) and mineral elements deficiency such as P, Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn and Mo are expected to occur (Page et al., 1990; Foy, 1992). Furthermore, unfavourable plant growth conditions such as severe root damage, shallow rooting, poor root development, susceptibility to drought and poor use of subsoil mineral elements have been reported in such pH range (Kauffman, 1977; Adams, 1984). Collectively, the constraints associated with alkaline conditions may threaten the yield potential of cropping systems in the study area.

### Total soil organic matter and organic carbon

Soil organic matter content across sites was low to medium, ranging from 3.3 - 66.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in topsoil (Table 1). Since soil organic matter content was calculated from soil organic carbon (Walkley and Black, 1934), these parameters has the same trend. It is generally accepted that a threshold for soil organic matter in most soils is 34 g kg<sup>-1</sup> below which decline in soil quality is expected to occur (Loveland and Webb, 2003). Soil organic matter was below the proposed threshold values in 73% of the 22 sites used in this study, suggesting a decline in soil qua-

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of soils from the selected schemes of Mbulu District, Manyara Region, Tanzania

| Site                        | Texture | pH (H <sub>2</sub> O) | EC dSm <sup>-1</sup> | OC                            | SOM  | N   | C/N  | Olsen<br>P(mgkg <sup>-1</sup> ) | CEC (cmol<br>(+) kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----|------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                             |         |                       |                      | .....g kg <sup>-1</sup> ..... |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| Tumati irrigation scheme    |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| TU-Va1                      | C       | 7.6                   | 0.21                 | 22.8                          | 39.2 | 2.5 | 9.1  | 14.80                           | 12.2                                |
| TU-Va2                      | C       | 7.2                   | 0.14                 | 23.6                          | 40.6 | 1.8 | 13.1 | 48.70                           | 14.5                                |
| TU-Va3                      | C       | 8.5                   | 0.26                 | 3.5                           | 6.0  | 2.0 | 1.8  | 14.00                           | 10.1                                |
| Dongobesh irrigation scheme |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| DO-Pi1                      | C       | 8.6                   | 0.20                 | 3.3                           | 5.7  | 2.0 | 1.7  | 2.50                            | 8.4                                 |
| DO-Va1                      | C       | 7.6                   | 0.21                 | 23.6                          | 40.6 | 2.5 | 9.4  | 44.40                           | 14.5                                |
| DO-Va2                      | C       | 8.5                   | 0.15                 | 2.3                           | 4.0  | 1.3 | 1.8  | 2.60                            | 9.4                                 |
| DO-Va3                      | C       | 7.3                   | 0.05                 | 25.3                          | 43.5 | 2.7 | 9.4  | 48.70                           | 13.6                                |
| Mangisa irrigation scheme   |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| MAN-Va1                     | SiCL    | 7.9                   | 0.15                 | 3.8                           | 6.5  | 2.2 | 1.7  | 2.90                            | 8.0                                 |
| MAN-Va2                     | SiC     | 7.5                   | 0.13                 | 10.8                          | 18.6 | 2.5 | 4.3  | 59.20                           | 17.4                                |
| MAN-Va3                     | CL      | 8.0                   | 0.09                 | 2.1                           | 3.6  | 1.5 | 1.4  | 2.50                            | 5.5                                 |
| Diyomat irrigation scheme   |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| DIY-Va1                     | C       | 8.8                   | 2.09                 | 1.9                           | 3.3  | 1.9 | 1.0  | 2.20                            | 8.2                                 |
| DIY-Va2                     | C       | 7.4                   | 0.12                 | 16.0                          | 27.5 | 2.0 | 8.0  | 60.00                           | 11.3                                |
| DIY-H1                      | L       | 7.5                   | 0.15                 | 16.0                          | 27.5 | 2.1 | 7.6  | 45.40                           | 13.6                                |
| Harsha irrigation scheme    |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| HAR-Va1                     | C       | 8.6                   | 0.17                 | 2.1                           | 3.6  | 2.0 | 1.1  | 2.50                            | 6.50                                |
| HAR-Va2                     | CL/C    | 8.5                   | 0.18                 | 2.5                           | 4.3  | 1.9 | 1.3  | 2.30                            | 8.25                                |
| HAR-H1                      | C       | 8.0                   | 0.20                 | 2.9                           | 5.0  | 2.0 | 1.5  | 4.90                            | 12.25                               |
| HAR-Pi1                     | CL      | 7.9                   | 0.09                 | 3.6                           | 6.2  | 2.1 | 1.7  | 5.00                            | 18.80                               |
| HAR-Pi2                     | CL      | 8.5                   | 0.11                 | 2.6                           | 4.5  | 1.9 | 1.4  | 2.40                            | 5.15                                |
| HAR-Pi3                     | C       | 8.5                   | 0.20                 | 2.5                           | 4.3  | 1.8 | 1.4  | 2.00                            | 6.80                                |
| Guwangw irrigation scheme   |         |                       |                      |                               |      |     |      |                                 |                                     |
| Gu-Va1                      | CL      | 7.2                   | 0.05                 | 38.4                          | 66.0 | 4.4 | 8.7  | 42.30                           | 19.15                               |
| Gu-Va2                      | HC      | 7.4                   | 0.14                 | 25.7                          | 44.2 | 4.5 | 5.7  | 46.80                           | 17.15                               |
| Gu-H2                       | SiCL    | 7.5                   | 0.40                 | 14.8                          | 25.5 | 3.3 | 4.5  | 37.70                           | 15.15                               |

TU=Tumati; DO=Dongobesh; MAN=Mangisa; DIY=Diyomat; HAR=Harsha; Gu=Guwangw; Va=Valley; Hi=Hilland; Pi=Piedmont; L=Loam; SiC=Silt clay; SiCL=Silt clay loam; CL=Clay loam; C=Clay; HC=Heavy clay; EC=Electrical conductivity; OC=Organic carbon; TN=Total nitrogen; C/N=Carbon nitrogen ratio; P=Phosphorous; CEC=Cation exchange capacity

lity (Tables 3 and 4). It is, therefore, apparent that there is a need to replenish the organic matter using resources such as crop residues and manure for maximum crop yields. Understanding the soil organic matter status before any development interventions are undertaken is of vital importance because such materials have been reported extensively to play a key role in the improvement of soil physical and chemical properties. These properties include structural stability, porosity, mineral elements availability (N, P and S), ion-exchange capacity (Oades, 1986; Boyle et al., 1989; Le Bissonnais, 1990; Tiessen et al., 1994; Lal et al., 1999; Roscoe et al., 2001; Sanchez et al., 2003; Kockba et al., 2004; Ferreras et al., 2006; Flavel and Murphy, 2006) and soil moisture holding capacity (Monreal et al., 1997) which also has great impact on improving irrigation efficiency for sustainable land productivity.

### Total N

Total nitrogen status in the study area was rated as low to medium with values ranging from 1.0 to 4.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). According to NSS (1990) guidelines, the proposed value for most crops in Tanzania is 2 g N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. The results show that 32% of the surveyed sites had %N below the threshold value (< 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and rated as low (Table 3 and 4). The observed low N in these sites may be closely related to amongst others low soil organic matter content which is greatly influenced by microbial activity in the soil (Facelli and Pickett, 1991) and high pH which could lead to N loss through ammonia volatilisation (Rao and Batra, 1983). In our study, soil samples with higher N levels were obtained from the organic enriched soils. So, any activity envisaged to improve the soil pH, soil organic matter and microbial activities can, conse-

quently, lead to an increase in the %N in the soil. Inadequate amounts of N in the soil are the primary factor that limits plant growth and development in many parts of the world (Vermeer and Berendse, 1983; Tilman, 1984). Although 68% of the sites had values above or equal to recommended value, and rated as medium (Tables 3 and 4), short and long-term interventions are proposed. Practices such as choice of cropping systems involving legumes with  $N_2$  fixation capability, the use of artificial acidified nitrogen fertilisers, animal manure or composts are some of the means by which N input into the soil can be effected.

### C:N ratio

The C:N showed no clear relationship with the soil texture in our study. The C:N ratio ranged from 1.0 to 9.4 even though only one site had a C:N ratio of 13.1 suggesting that the study area has a moderate to good quality soil organic matter (Table 1; NSS, 1990). It is generally accepted that C:N ratios between 8 and 12 (27% of the sites) are considered to be the most favourable, implying a relatively fast mineralisation of nitrogen from the organic materials. For example, higher C:N ratios greater than 23 (Goma, 2003) have been shown to favour slow degradation of residues by the associated micro-organisms (Eiland et al., 2001), higher immobilisation effects (Goma, 2003) and limited N in the soil that may lead to reduced crop yields (Uriyo et al., 1979). The observed C:N ratio status in all sites surveyed in this study suggests ideal conditions for plant growth since in such situations mineralisation in the soil is greater than immobilisation.

### Available phosphorus

Phosphorous is an essential element for plant growth, hence an important soil fertility indicator. In our study, phosphorus in the soil ranged from 2.0 – 60.0 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Based on current soil fertility recommendation that uses a critical P concentration of 7 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> to separate P deficient soils (NSS, 1990), 55% of the surveyed sites had sufficient P levels and 45% would fall in the deficient category. The observed low values of P could partly be attributed to fixation into unavailable forms due to high soil pH (Table 1). For example, in such alkaline soils, calcium phosphates are less soluble, hence high P retention capacity and low P availability (Hassett and Banwart, 1992). Even though most sites had sufficient available P levels, future plans for the deficient sites should include research on mineral P fertiliser or organic manure from various sources in order to moderate P levels in these soils to sustain productivity. In most cases higher P levels in our study were associated with higher levels of organic matter and neutral to mildly alkaline pH (Gillman, 1985).

### Exchangeable bases (K, Mg, Ca)

Potassium levels ranged from 0.2 - 7.9 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in the soil (Table 2). It is generally accepted that response to K fertilisers is likely when a soil has an exchangeable K value of < 0.2cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and unlikely when it is above 0.4 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> soil (Table 2; Anderson, 1973; NSS, 1990). Ninety five percent of the surveyed sites were rated as medium or high to very high due to exchangeable K values > 0.4 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> soil (Table 2; NSS, 1990). This result generally suggests that K is not a limiting mineral element to crop productivity except in one site.

The exchangeable Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the topsoil of these schemes ranged from 0.6 - 3.3 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup>. All sites were rated as very low to low (Tables 2, 3 and 4). Marx et al. (1996) proposed that in most of the crops, the recommended threshold level of Ca<sup>2+</sup> is 5 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup>. It is generally acknowledged that field conditions that limit Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake produce lower crop yields than crops grown with adequate Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Cox et al., 1976; Hadidi, 1984; Frost and Kretchman, 1989; Smiciklas et al., 1989). The low to very low levels of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in all sites indicates higher bondage of Ca<sup>2+</sup> to P at high soil reactions (Table 2). Research efforts should be directed towards packages that can ameliorate this problem.

These results also showed that Mg<sup>2+</sup> content was very high in all soils with values ranging from 4.5 - 23.0 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). This was the dominant cation in all sites. The recommended value of Mg<sup>2+</sup> in most crops is 2 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> (Schwartz and Coralles, 1989). The high to very high levels of Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the soils suggest that these schemes have sufficient Mg<sup>2+</sup> supplies for crop growth.

### Cation exchange capacity

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) status in the soil ranged from 6.5 - 19.2 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1) and was rated as low to medium (Table 3, 4; NSS, 1990). Cation exchange capacity refers to the exchange phenomenon of positively charged ions at the surface of the negatively charged colloids. The higher the CEC, the more capable the soil can retain mineral elements. Studies have shown that soils with CEC values of between 6 - 12 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> are poor in exchangeable bases (NSS, 1990). Of the surveyed sites, 50% have CEC values <12 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup>. According to Sanchez and Logan (1992), soils with low CEC are typically weathered with a low ability to support plant growth with adequate mineral element such calcium. It is generally accepted that SOM is responsible for 25 - 90% of the total CEC of surface horizons of mineral soils (Van Dijk, 1971 and Oades et al, 1989). The low to medium CEC found in this study could be related to low soil organic matter of these soils (Table 1). The low CEC values in soils have also been implicated with low yield in most agricultural soils (Sanchez and Logan, 1992). Any intervention such as applying both manure and the requi-

**Table 2.** Chemical characteristics of soils from the selected schemes of Mbulu District, Manyara Region, Tanzania.

| Site                        |                                      |       |      |      | TEB   | Ca/Mg | Mg/K  | K/TEB       | ESP   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|
|                             | Ca                                   | Mg    | K    | Na   |       |       |       |             |       |
|                             | .....cmol (+) kg <sup>-1</sup> ..... |       |      |      |       |       |       | .....%..... |       |
| Tumati irrigation scheme    |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| TU-Va1                      | 1.65                                 | 20.00 | 1.58 | 2.23 | 25.46 | 0.08  | 12.66 | 6.21        | 18.03 |
| TU-Va2                      | 2.20                                 | 7.50  | 0.59 | 0.88 | 11.17 | 0.29  | 12.71 | 5.28        | 6.21  |
| TU-Va3                      | 2.74                                 | 9.50  | 7.93 | 1.84 | 22.01 | 0.29  | 1.20  | 36.03       | 17.82 |
| Dongobesh irrigation scheme |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| DO-Pi1                      | 0.55                                 | 16.00 | 0.77 | 2.04 | 19.36 | 0.03  | 20.78 | 3.98        | 23.81 |
| DO-Va1                      | 1.65                                 | 20.00 | 1.58 | 2.23 | 25.46 | 0.08  | 12.66 | 6.21        | 15.17 |
| DO-Va2                      | 0.55                                 | 12.00 | 1.03 | 1.61 | 15.19 | 0.05  | 11.65 | 6.78        | 17.02 |
| DO-Va3                      | 1.65                                 | 7.80  | 0.74 | 0.78 | 10.97 | 0.21  | 10.54 | 6.75        | 5.88  |
| Mangisa irrigation scheme   |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| MAN-Va1                     | 2.20                                 | 20.00 | 2.39 | 1.94 | 26.53 | 0.11  | 8.37  | 9.01        | 23.75 |
| MAN-Va2                     | 2.20                                 | 7.50  | 0.59 | 0.88 | 11.17 | 0.29  | 12.71 | 5.28        | 5.17  |
| MAN-Va3                     | 2.20                                 | 4.50  | 1.59 | 0.60 | 8.89  | 0.49  | 2.83  | 17.89       | 10.91 |
| Diyomat irrigation scheme   |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| DIY-Va1                     | 0.55                                 | 23.00 | 1.56 | 4.53 | 29.64 | 0.02  | 14.74 | 5.26        | 54.88 |
| DIY-Va2                     | 1.10                                 | 10.00 | 0.73 | 1.28 | 13.11 | 0.11  | 13.70 | 5.57        | 11.50 |
| DIY-H1                      | 0.55                                 | 5.10  | 2.49 | 0.59 | 8.73  | 0.11  | 2.05  | 28.52       | 4.41  |
| Harsha irrigation scheme    |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| HAR-Va1                     | 1.65                                 | 19.00 | 4.41 | 1.59 | 26.65 | 0.09  | 4.31  | 16.55       | 24.62 |
| HAR-Va2                     | 1.65                                 | 5.10  | 0.19 | 1.58 | 8.52  | 0.32  | 26.84 | 2.23        | 19.39 |
| HAR-H1                      | 2.20                                 | 9.00  | 2.59 | 1.69 | 15.48 | 0.24  | 3.47  | 16.73       | 13.88 |
| HAR-Pi1                     | 3.24                                 | 9.00  | 2.56 | 0.82 | 15.62 | 0.36  | 3.52  | 16.39       | 4.26  |
| HAR-Pi2                     | 2.20                                 | 7.30  | 0.63 | 1.20 | 11.33 | 0.30  | 11.59 | 5.56        | 23.30 |
| HAR-Pi3                     | 1.10                                 | 22.00 | 2.38 | 2.99 | 28.47 | 0.05  | 9.24  | 8.36        | 44.12 |
| Guwangw irrigation scheme   |                                      |       |      |      |       |       |       |             |       |
| Gu-Va1                      | 2.20                                 | 5.50  | 0.81 | 0.41 | 8.92  | 0.40  | 6.79  | 9.08        | 2.09  |
| Gu-Va2                      | 2.20                                 | 8.90  | 1.53 | 1.05 | 13.68 | 0.25  | 5.82  | 11.18       | 6.41  |
| Gu-H2                       | 2.20                                 | 8.80  | 0.91 | 1.28 | 13.19 | 0.25  | 9.67  | 6.90        | 8.58  |

TU=Tumati; DO=Dongobesh; MAN=Mangisa; DIY=Diyomat; HAR=Harsha; Gu=Guwangw; Va=Valley; Hi=Hilland; Pi=Piedmont; Ca=Calcium; Mg=Magnesium; K=Potassium; Na=Sodium; TEB=Total exchangeable bases; ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage

required amount of fertiliser with the aim of improving the CEC of the soil is recommended. By doing so humus content of the soil will increase and, consequently, improve the CEC that may lead to better retention of mineral elements in the soil.

#### Exchangeable sodium or exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)

The exchangeable Na range from 0.6 - 4.5 cmol (+) kg<sup>-1</sup> soil corresponding to ESP values ranging from 2.1 - 54.9% (Tables 1, 2). The critical values of ESP above which most crops are affected are established at 15 (Lebron et al., 2002). Fifty percent of the sites had Na levels below the recommended threshold levels (Table 2, 3, 4). The medium to very high Na or slightly sodic to extremely sodic status observed in these sites may probably

be related to high evaporation, poor management of irrigation water, lack of drainage systems and low Ca<sup>2+</sup> due to high Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations in the exchange complex (Table 3, 4). Higher Na<sup>+</sup> levels in the soil is associated with decline in net photosynthesis; energy losses for salt exclusion mechanisms; greater decrease in mineral elements uptake; poor NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation required for plant growth; inhibition of vital enzymes and competition with K<sup>+</sup> (Aslam et al., 1984; Seeman and Sharkey, 1986; Tarczynski et al., 1993; Munns, 1993). The excessive Na<sup>+</sup> in the soil is likely to cause reduced plant growth and development, thus, decreased crop yields (Aslam et al., 1984; Seeman and Sharkey, 1986; Tarczynski et al., 1993; Munns, 1993; Noble and Rogers, 1993; Gouia et al., 1994; Murguia et al., 1995; Flowers, 1999). Our results suggest that such sodic soils may require appropriate amendments such as FYM or gypsum to reduce

**Table 3.** Soil fertility status legend for the selected schemes of Mbulu district.

| Soil fertility Unit symbol  | Land form characteristics | S % | Soil fertility description |      |           |           |           |          |        |                  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|------------------|
|                             |                           |     | N                          | P    | K         | Ca        | Mg        | %OC      | CEC    | ESP              |
| HARSHA IRRIGATION SCHEME    |                           |     |                            |      |           |           |           |          |        |                  |
| HAR-Va1                     | Almost flat               | <1  | Low                        | Low  | Very high | Very low  | Very high | Low      | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| HAR-Va2                     | Almost flat               | <1  | Low                        | Low  | Low       | Very low  | High      | Low      | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| HAR-H1                      | Gently sloping            | <3  | Low                        | Low  | Very high | Low       | Very high | Low      | Medium | Moderately sodic |
| HAR-Pi1                     | Slightly sloping          | <2  | Medium                     | Low  | Very high | Low       | Very high | Low      | Low    | Non sodic        |
| HAR-Pi2                     | Slightly sloping          | <2  | Low                        | Low  | Medium    | Low       | Very high | Low      | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| HAR-Pi3                     | Slightly sloping          | <2  | Low                        | Low  | Very high | Very low  | Very high | Low      | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| DIYOMAT IRRIGATION SCHEME   |                           |     |                            |      |           |           |           |          |        |                  |
| DIY-Va1                     | Almost flat               | <1  | Low                        | Low  | High      | Very low  | Very high | Low      | Low    | Extremely sodic  |
| DIY-Va2                     | Almost flat               | <1  | Low                        | High | Medium    | Very high | Very high | Medium   | Low    | Moderately sodic |
| DIY-H1                      | Gently sloping            | <3  | Medium                     | High | Very high | Very low  | High      | Medium   | Medium | Non sodic        |
| DONGOBESH IRRIGATION SCHEME |                           |     |                            |      |           |           |           |          |        |                  |
| DO-Pi1                      | Slightly sloping          | <2  | Low                        | Low  | Medium    | Very low  | Very high | Very low | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| DO-Va1                      | Almost flat               | <1  | Medium                     | High | High      | Very low  | Very high | Medium   | Medium | Moderately sodic |
| DO-Va2                      | Almost flat               | <1  | Low                        | Low  | Medium    | Very low  | Very high | Very low | Low    | Strongly sodic   |
| DO-Va3                      | Almost flat               | <1  | Medium                     | High | Medium    | Very low  | Very high | Medium   | Medium | Non sodic        |

Classification: According to NSS (1990) guidelines. HAR=Harsha; DIY=Diyomat; DO=Dongobesh; Va=Valley; H=Hilland; Pi=Piedmont; S (%) =Slope percent; N=Total nitrogen; P=Phosphorous; K=Potassium; Ca=Calcium; Mg=Magnesium; OC=Organic carbon; CEC=Cation exchange capacity; ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage

the concentration of  $\text{Na}^+$  on the exchange complex, thereafter followed by leaching to replace the soluble  $\text{Na}^+$  on the soil colloid, through irrigation or rain water (Clark et al., 2007) and use of acidifying fertilisers such as sulphate of ammonia to lower the soil pH. Successful results on the use of locally available soil ameliorants, such as gypsum ( $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) as a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  source and/or organic manure, has been reported in northern Tanzania (Makoi and Ndakidemi, 2007).

### Cation ratios

The Ca:Mg ratios ranged from 0.02 to 0.49; Mg:K ratio: from 1.2 to 25.5 and K:TEB ratio: from 0.9 to 36% (Table 2). According to the established guidelines, the recommended optimum ratio of Mg:K for most crops is 1 - 4 (Lombin and Fayemi, 1976; NSS, 1990). These results

indicate that almost all sites have optimal K enrichment sufficient to support plant growth. In relation to Ca:Mg, our data suggests less favourable conditions for most crops. The availability of mineral elements for uptake by plants depends not only upon absolute levels but also on relative amounts of individual elements. It has been suggested that the optimal cation ratio for the growth of most crops in the tropical area is assumed to be equal to 12.7:3:1 for Ca:Mg:K respectively (NSS, 1990). Although the general trend for Ca:Mg:K doesn't indicate a good ratio in relation to the established standards, the individual nutrient ratios are more important i.e. Ca:Mg; Mg:K and K:TEB. Research has indicated that the Ca:Mg ratio of 3 - 5 in the topsoil (NSS, 1990) is optimal for most crops and the K:TEB ratio of less than 2% is sub-optimal and may limit crop production. Our results have indicated Ca:Mg ratios to be less than the suggested guidelines

**Table 4.** Soil fertility status legend for the selected schemes of Mbulu district

| Soil fertility Unit symbol | Land form characteristics | S (%) | Soil fertility description |      |           |          |           |           |        |                |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------|------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------------|
|                            |                           |       | N                          | P    | K         | Ca       | Mg        | %OC       | CEC    | ESP            |
| UMATI IRRIGATION SCHEME    |                           |       |                            |      |           |          |           |           |        |                |
| TU-Va1                     | Almost flat               | <1    | Medium                     | High | High      | Very low | Very high | Medium    | Medium | Strongly sodic |
| TU-Va2                     | Almost flat               | <1    | Low                        | High | Medium    | Low      | Very high | Medium    | Medium | Slightly sodic |
| TU-Va3                     | Almost flat               | <1    | Low                        | High | Very high | Low      | Very high | Very Low  | Low    | Strongly sodic |
| MANGISA IRRIGATION SCHEME  |                           |       |                            |      |           |          |           |           |        |                |
| MANG-Va1                   | Almost flat               | <1    | Medium                     | Low  | Very high | Low      | Very high | Very Low  | Low    | Strongly sodic |
| MANG-Va2                   | Almost flat               | <1    | Medium                     | High | Medium    | Low      | Very high | Low       | Medium | Non sodic      |
| MANG-Va3/Hi                | Slightly sloping          | <2    | Low                        | High | High      | Low      | High      | Very Low  | Low    | Slightly sodic |
| GUWANGW IRRIGATION SCHEME  |                           |       |                            |      |           |          |           |           |        |                |
| Gu-Va1                     | Almost flat               | <1    | Medium                     | High | Medium    | Low      | High      | Very high | Medium | Non sodic      |
| Gu-Va2                     | Almost flat               | <1    | Medium                     | High | High      | Low      | Very high | High      | Medium | Slightly sodic |
| Gu-H2                      | Gently sloping            | <3    | Medium                     | High | Medium    | Low      | Very high | Medium    | Medium | Slightly sodic |

Classification: According to NSS (1990) guidelines. S (%) =Slope percent, TU=Tumati; MAN=Mangisa; Gu=Guwangw; Va=Valley; Hi=Hilland

and plants would probably respond to the addition of Ca which was deficient in most sites (Table 2).

## Conclusions

In conclusion, with reference to the established guidelines elsewhere, results from this study provide soil fertility indicators for major soil elements in the selected irrigation schemes in the Mbulu District, Tanzania. Our results suggest that soil pH and excessive sodium in the soil followed by calcium, soil organic matter and cation exchange capacity are the major soil fertility constraints to crop production in the area. The information from our study could be incorporated in the soil fertility management of Tanzania thus contributing significantly in the utilisation of land resources of the Mbulu District.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Participatory Irrigation Development Programme (PIDP), Mbulu District, Manyara Region, Tanzania, and The Cape Peninsula University of Technology.

## REFERENCES

Adams F (1984). Crop response to lime in the Southern United States. In: Adams, F. (Ed.), Soil Acidity and Liming, second ed. Agronomy

- no. 12, ASA, CSSA, SSSA, WI, pp. 211–265.
- Allison E (1965). Organic carbom. In: Black CA et al. (eds). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison. pp. 1367-1378.
- Anderson GD (1973). Potassium responses of various crops in East Africa. In: Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> Colloquium of the International Potash Potash Institute, Abijan, Ivory Coast. International Potash Institute, Abijan. pp. 413 - 437.
- Angers DA, Pesant A, Vigneux J (1992). Early cropping - induced changes in soil aggregation, organic matter and microbial biomass. SSSAJ. 56: 115 -119.
- Aslam M, Hukffker RC, Rains DW (1984). Early effects of salinity on nitrate assimilation in barley seedlings. Plant Physiol. 76: 321-325.
- Boyle M, Frankenberger WT, Stolzy LH (1989). The influence of organic matter on soil aggregation and water infiltration. J. Prod. Agric. 2: 290 -298.
- Brady NC, Weil RR (2002). Nature and Properties of Soils. 13<sup>th</sup> Edition. With permission of Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Bremner JM (1965). Total nitrogen. In: Black CA et al. (eds). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison. pp. 1149 -1178.
- Chapman HD (1965). Cation exchange capacity. In: Black CA et al. (Eds). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison. pp. 891-901.
- Clark GJ, Doddshun N, Sale PWG, Tang C (2007). Changes in chemical and biological properties of a sodic clay subsoil with addition of organic amendments. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39(11): 2806-2817.
- Cox FR, Sullivan GA, Martin CK (1976). Effect of calcium and irrigation treatments on peanut yield, grade and seed quality. Peanut Sci. 3: 81-85.
- Day PR (1965). Particle fraction and particle size analysis. In: Black CA et al. (Eds). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison. Pp. 545 -567.
- Eiland F, Klamer M, Lind AM, Leth M, Baath E (2001). Influence of initial C/N ratio on chemical and microbial composition during long term

- composing of straw. *Microbial Ecol.* 41:272-280.
- Facelli JM, Pickett STA (1991). Plant litter. Its dynamics and effects on plant community structure. *Bot. Rev.* 57: 1-32.
- Ferreras L, Gomez E, Toresani S, Firpo I, Rotondo R (2006). Effect of organic amendments on some physical, chemical and biological properties in a horticultural soil. *Bioresource Tech.* 97(4): 635 - 640.
- Flavel TC, Murphy DV (2006). Carbon and Nitrogen mineralization rates after application of organic amendments to the soil. *J. Environ. Quality.* 35: 183 -193.
- Flowers TJ (1999). Salinisation and horticultural production. *Sci. Hort.* 78: 1-4.
- Foy CD (1992). Soil chemical factors limiting plant root growth. *Adv. Soil Sci.* 19: 97-131.
- Frost DJ, Kretschman DW (1989). Calcium deficiency reduces cucumber fruit and seed quality. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 114: 552-556.
- Gillman GP (1985). Influence of organic matter and phosphate content on the point of zero charge of variable charge components in oxidic soils. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 23(4): 643 - 646.
- Goma HC (2003). Potential for changing traditional soil fertility management systems in the wet miombo woodlands of Zambia: the chitemene and fundikila systems. In: Gichuru MP, Bationo A, Bekunda MA, Goma HC, Mafongoya PL, Mugendi DN, Murwira HK, Nandwa SM, Nyathi P, Swift MJ (eds). 2003. Soil fertility management in Africa: a regional perspective, pp. 187-218.
- Gouia H, Ghorbal MH, Touraine B (1994). Effect of NaCl on flows of N and mineral ions and on NO<sub>3</sub>- reductase rate within whole plants of salt-sensitive bean and salt-tolerant cotton. *Plant Physiol.* 105: 1409-1418.
- Hadidi NA (1984). The influence of macronutrient deficiency on plant growth and development, fruit yield, seed yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Ph.D. Diss. (Diss. Abstr. AAT 8426398). The Ohio State University, Columbus.
- Hassett JJ and Banwart WL (1992). Soils and their environment. Prentice Hall, Englewood, New Jersey. p. 424.
- Hesse PR (1971). A Text Book of Soil Chemistry Analysis. John Murray Ltd. London. pp. 120-309.
- Kauffman MD (1977). The effect of soil acidity and lime placement on root growth and yield of winter wheat and alfalfa. Ph.D. Diss. Oregon state Univ., Corvallis. Diss. Abstr. 37B, 7, pp. 3192-3193.
- Kockba M, Ritvo G, Avinimeleck Y (2004). The effect municipal solid waste compost (MSW) on the replacement of sodium in sodic soil models. *Soil Sci.* 169: 567-572.
- Lal R, Mokma D, Lowery B (1999). Relation between soil quality and soil erosion. In: Lal R, Kimble J, Levine E, Stewart BA (Eds), *Soil Quality and Soil Erosion*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 237- 259.
- Le Bissonnais Y (1990). Experimental study and modelling of soil surface crusting processes. *Catena. Suppl.* 17: 13-28.
- Lebron I, Suarez DL, Yoshida T (2002). Gypsum effect on the aggregate size and geometry of three sodic soils under reclamation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66: 92-98.
- Lombin G, Fayemi AA (1976). Magnesium availability in soils from Western Nigeria. *Soil Science.* 122: 91-99.
- Loveland P, Webb J (2003). Is there a critical level of organic matter in the agricultural soils of temperate regions: a review. *Soil and Tillage Res.* 70(1): 1-18.
- Makoi JHJR (2003). Soil Fertility assessment for irrigation in the selected schemes of Mbulu District. In: United Republic of Tanzania, Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, Participatory Irrigation Development Programme. ZITS, Moshi, Kilimanjaro.
- Makoi JHJR and Ndakidemi PA (2007). Reclamation of sodic soils in Rundugai village, Hai District, Kilimanjaro Region, Tanzania, using locally available organic and inorganic resources. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 6(16): 1926-1931.
- Marx ES, Hart J, Stevens RG. (1996). Soil test interpretation guide. Oregon State University Extension Services. Oregon State University, Corvallis. 7pp.
- Mokwunye AU, de Jager A, Smaling EMA (1996). Restoring and maintaining the productivity of West African soils: Key to sustainable development. Misc. fertilizer stud 14, Int. Dev. Central Africa, Lome, Togo.
- Monreal CM, Dinel H, Schnitzer M, Gamble DS, Biederbeck VO (1997). Impact of carbon sequestration on functional indicators of soil quality as influenced by management in sustainable agriculture. P. 435-457. In: Lal R et al. (eds.) *Soil processes and the carbon cycle*, Lewis Publ., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Muchena FM, Kiome RM (1995). The role of soil science in agricultural development in East Africa. *Geoderma* 67: 141-157.
- Munns R (1993). Physiological processes limiting plant growth in saline soils: some dogmas and hypotheses. *Plant Cell Environ.* 16: 15-24.
- Murguia JR, Belles JM, Serrano R (1995). A salt-sensitive 3'(2'),5'-bisphosphate nucleotidase involved in sulfate activation. *Sci.* 267: 232-234.
- Murphy J, Riley JP (1962). A modified single solution method for determination of phosphates in natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 27: 31-36.
- Ndakidemi PA and Semoka JMR (2006). Soil fertility in Western Usambara Mountains, Northern Tanzania. *Pedosphere* 16(2): 237-244.
- Ngailo JA, Wickama JM, Nyaki AS, Kaswamila AL (1999). Nutrient flow analysis for selected farming systems in the Northern Tanzania: The case of Mbulu, Moshi Rural and Lushoto Districts (pp 49-64). In: *Soil and Nutrient management in sub-Saharan Africa in support of the soil fertility initiative*. p. 376.
- Noble CL, Rogers MJE (1993). Response of temperate forage legumes to water logging and salinity. In: Pessarakli M (ed) *handbook of Plant and crops stress*. Marcel Dekker. Inc., New York, NY, pp.473-496.
- NSS (1990). Laboratory procedures for routine soil analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development, National Soil Service (NSS), ARI, Mlingano.
- Oades JM (1986). The retention of organic matter in soils. *Biogeochem.* 5: 35-70.
- Oades JM, Gillman GP, Uehara G, Hue NV, van Noordwijk M, Robertson GP, Wada K (1989). Interactions of soil organic matter and variable-charge clays. In: Coleman DC, Oades JM, Uehara G (Eds), *Dynamics of Soil Organic Matter in Tropical Ecosystems*. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, HI, pp. 69-95.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *U.S. Dep. of Agric. Circ.* 939.
- Page AL, Chang AC, Adriano DC (1990). Deficiencies and toxicities of trace elements. *Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management*, Chapter 7, ASCE Manuals and Reports on Eng. Practice No. 71, ASCE, pp. 138-160.
- Paustian K, Collins HP, Paul EA (1997). Management controls on soil carbon. p. 15-49. In: E.A. Paul et al. (Eds) *Soil organic matter in temperate agroecosystems: Long-term experiments in North America*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Peech M (1965). Hydrogen ion activity. In: Black CA et al. (Eds). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison. pp. 914-926.
- Piper CS (1942). *Soil and Plant Analysis*. University of Adelaide.
- Polemio M, Rhoades JD (1977). Determining cation exchange capacity: A new procedure for calcareous and gypsiferous soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41: 524-528.
- Rao DLN, Batra L (1983). Ammonia volatilization from applied nitrogen in alkali soils. *Plant Soil.* 70 (2): 219-228.
- Riffaldi R, Saviozzi A, Levi-Minzi R, Menchetti F (1994). Chemical characteristics of soil after 40 years of continuous maize cultivation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 49: 239-245.
- Rodriguez JB, Self JR, Soltanpour NP (1994). Optimal conditions for phosphorous analysis by the ascorbic acid-molybdenum blue method. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 58: 866-870.
- Roscoe R, Buurman P, Velthorst EJ, Vasconcellos CA (2001). Soil organic matter dynamics in density and particle size fractions as revealed by the 13C/12C isotopic ratio in a Cerrado's oxisol. *Geoderma.* 104: 185- 202.
- Sanchez PA (2002). Soil fertility and hunger in Africa. *Sci.* 295: 2019-2020.
- Sanchez PA, Logan TJ (1992). *Properties and management of soils in the tropics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 618.
- Sanchez PA, Palm CA, Boul SW (2003). Fertility capability classification: A tool to help assess soil quality in the tropics. *Geoderma.* 114: 157-185.

- Schmidt EL (1982). Nitrification in Soil. In: Stevenson, F.J. (Ed), Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI., ISBN 0891180702. pp. 253-288
- Schwartz HF and Coralles MA (1989). Nutritional disorders in beans. In: Schwartz HF, Coralles MAP (Eds.) Bean production Problems in the tropics. Second edition. International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Cali. pp. 75-604.
- Scoones I (2001). Dynamics and Diversity: Soil Fertility and Farming Livelihoods in Africa: Case Studies from Ethiopia, Mali, and Zimbabwe, Earthscan Publications Ltd., London , p. 244.
- Seeman JR, Sharkley TD (1986). Salinity and nitrogen effects on photosynthesis, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and metabolite pool sizes in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Plant Physiol. 82: 555-560.
- Smaling EMA, Braun AR (1996). Soil fertility research in sub-Saharan Africa: New dimensions, new challenges, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 27: 365-386.
- Smaling EMA, Nandwa SM, Janssen BH (1997). Soil fertility in Africa is at stake. In: Buresh RJ, Sanchez PA and Calhoun F (Eds). Replenishing Soil Fertility in Africa, Soil Science Society of America, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America Special Publication No. 51, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, pp. 47-61.
- Smiciklas KD, Mullen RE, Carlson RE, Knapp AD (1989). Drought-induced stress effect on soybean seed calcium and quality. Crop Sci. 29: 1519-1523.
- Tarczynski MC, Jensen RG, Bohnert HJ (1993). Stress protection of transgenic tobacco by production of the osmolyte mannitol. Sci. 259: 508-510.
- Tiessen H, Cuevas E and Chacon P (1994). The role of soil organic matter in sustaining soil fertility. Nature 371: 783-785.
- Tilman GD (1984). Plant dominance along an experimental nutrient gradient. Ecol. 65: 1445-1453.
- Tisdale JM, Oades JM (1982). Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. J. Soil Sci. 33: 141-163.
- Uriyo AP, Mongi HO, Chowdhury MS, Sing BR and Semoka JMR (1979). Introductory Soil Science. Tanzania Publishing House, Dar es Salaam. 232pp.
- URT (United Republic of Tanzania). (2006). Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security. Tanzania Soils Maps, Mlingano Agricultural Research Institute, Tanga, Tanzania. Available online: <http://www.kilimo.go.tz/ResearchTraining/Mlingano%20Research/Tanzania%20Soil%20Maps/Soil%20maps.htm>
- Van Dijk H (1971). Colloid chemical properties of humic matter. In: McLaren AD, Skujins J (Eds.), Soil Biochemistry, Vol. 2. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 16-35.
- Vermeer JG, Berendse F (1983). The relationship between nutrient availability, shoot biomass and species richness in grassland and wetland communities. Vegetation. 53: 121-126.
- Walkley A, Black A (1934). Determination of organic matter. Soil Sci. 37: 29-38.