



Inclusive Pedagogy in Multicultural Classrooms: Fostering Global Awareness and Empathy in Higher Education

*¹ Estella Zandile Jingxi¹; Ncumisa Mpongwana²;
Cynthia Dlangamandla³; Vuyani Khumalo¹

¹Mangosuthu University of Technology, 511 Griffiths Mxenge Hwy, Umlazi,
Durban, 4031. South Africa.

²Institute for catalysis and Energy Solutions, College of Science, Engineering
and Technology, University of South Africa – Florida Campus. Private Bag
X6, Florida, 1710. South Africa

³ Department of Environmental and Occupational Studies, Cape Peninsula
University of Technology, P.O Box 652. Cape Town 8000. South Africa.

Corresponding email: Jingxi.zandile@mut.ac.za

ABSTRACT

In today's increasingly globalised world, higher education institutions are becoming vibrant hubs of cultural diversity, hosting students from a broad spectrum of linguistic, national, and socio-cultural backgrounds. This shift necessitates a pedagogical transformation that embraces inclusivity and cultivates global awareness and empathy among students. This paper explores the concept of inclusive pedagogy as a dynamic response to the challenges and opportunities posed by multicultural classrooms. Drawing on interdisciplinary literature and classroom-based experiences, the paper investigates how culturally responsive teaching strategies can bridge cultural divides, enhance student engagement, and promote intercultural understanding. Finally, this paper explores the intersection of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) as frameworks for advancing inclusion, engagement, and equity in multicultural classrooms. UDL provides flexible

© The Author(s) 2025

M. Makua et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of The Focus Conference (TFC 2025)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 982,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-521-8_8

pathways that accommodate diverse learner needs, while CRT affirms cultural identities and integrates students' lived experiences into teaching and learning. Together, these approaches create synergistic strategies that transform diversity into an asset, fostering meaningful participation and educational justice for all students.

Keywords: Inclusive Pedagogy; Multicultural classroom; Universal Design for Learning.

1 INTRODUCTION

Multicultural classrooms signify the growing impact of global migration, globalization, and urbanization on education. Increased mobility of people across borders due to economic opportunities, conflict, and international study programs has diversified student populations worldwide [1]. Globalization has further accelerated cultural exchange, making classrooms microcosms of interconnected societies [2]. Similarly, in South Africa, rapid urbanization has concentrated diverse communities in cities, where schools and universities naturally reflect multicultural demographics [3]. However, historical, and structural inequalities in basic education remain a major challenge, with significant divides between well-resourced urban schools and under-resourced rural and township schools [4]. Factors such as inadequate infrastructure, less experienced teachers, and unequal access to learning materials perpetuate inequalities in learning outcomes, [5]. Consequently, many students emerge from disadvantaged contexts with lower literacy, numeracy, and problem-solving skills compared to peers from privileged schools, reinforcing social and economic divides within the education system and deepening the legacy of apartheid-era segregation [6]. Factors such as inadequate infrastructure, limited teaching experience, and unequal access to learning materials perpetuate inequalities in learning outcomes. Together, these global and local dynamics shape multicultural classrooms, requiring inclusive pedagogical approaches that address diversity while promoting equity and intercultural understanding. The purpose of this paper is to critically review the literature on inclusive pedagogy within multicultural classrooms, with particular attention to the

theoretical frameworks that underpin inclusive practices, the pedagogical strategies employed to address cultural and linguistic diversity. In doing so, the paper situates inclusive pedagogy within broader global processes of migration, globalization, and urbanization, which have transformed classrooms into spaces of cultural convergence. This review highlights key theoretical perspectives, such as Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT), which are primarily applied within higher education contexts (though originally developed for general education). These frameworks inform inclusive approaches to teaching and learning in diverse higher education settings. It further explores practical strategies that educators can employ to promote equity, participation, and intercultural understanding among students from varied backgrounds. Finally, the paper discusses the implications of these dynamics for educators and institutions, emphasizing the need to foster global awareness, empathy, and social cohesion through intentional pedagogical approaches.

2 METHODOLOGY

This conceptual review is desk-based and synthesises secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, policy documents, government reports, and authoritative sources published between 2000 and 2024. Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and institutional repositories were systematically searched using keywords including “inclusive pedagogy,” “multicultural classrooms,” “higher education,” and “South Africa.” Articles were selected based on relevance to the research topic, with emphasis on conceptual frameworks, empirical studies, and policy analyses related to inclusive teaching in diverse educational settings. This rigorous process culminated in 43 high-quality sources that underpin the final synthesis. A thematic analysis was then conducted to pinpoint cross-cutting insights and generate robust, evidence-informed recommendations.

3 CONCEPTUALIZING INCLUSIVE PEDAGOGY

Numerous scholars have defined inclusive pedagogy differently in various contexts. Interestingly, the majority of definitions contain the same distinct

root. For instance [7]; [8]; [9]; and [10] are of the view that, inclusive pedagogy represents a pedagogical orientation informed by the principles of equity, diversity, and social justice, designed to guarantee that all learners, irrespective of cultural, linguistic, socioeconomic, cognitive, or physical differences are provided with meaningful opportunities for participation, belonging, and achievement. Rather than focusing solely on integration or physical presence within the classroom, it emphasizes the transformation of teaching and learning practices to intentionally engage with and respond to the diverse needs, identities, and lived experiences of students.

4 CORE GOALS OF INCLUSIVE PEDAGOGY IN MULTICULTURAL CONTEXTS

The core goals of inclusive pedagogy in multicultural contexts center on fostering equitable access to knowledge, cultivating a sense of belonging, and empowering all learners to succeed within diverse educational environments. According to [11] inclusive pedagogy at its foundation seeks to dismantle structural and cultural barriers to learning by designing curricula, teaching strategies, and assessment methods that recognize and value students' varied cultural, linguistic, and experiential backgrounds. It also aims to promote intercultural understanding and mutual respect, equipping learners with the skills to navigate and thrive in pluralistic societies. Beyond academic outcomes, inclusive pedagogy strives to affirm students' identities and voices, creating spaces where diversity is not merely accommodated but actively leveraged as a resource for collaborative learning, critical reflection, and social transformation [8, 9]. In doing so, it contributes to broader educational commitments to equity, justice, and democratic participation.

5 IMPLICATIONS OF MULTICULTURAL CLASSROOMS

The presence of multiple cultural identities within a single classroom carries significant pedagogical, social, and institutional implications. On a pedagogical level, educators are increasingly required to adapt their teaching strategies and curriculum design to ensure that content is culturally responsive, inclusive, and relevant to all learners [12]. This shift often demands the integration of diverse

perspectives in course materials, the adoption of teaching practices that validate students' cultural backgrounds, and the development of inclusive assessment methods that recognize varying ways of demonstrating knowledge. Linguistic diversity in such settings can enrich the learning experience by exposing students to multiple languages, fostering bilingual or multilingual competence, and promoting appreciation for linguistic heritage. However, it also presents challenges for comprehension, classroom communication, and equitable participation, particularly when the language of instruction differs from students' primary language [13]. In such cases, differentiated instruction, multilingual support mechanisms, and scaffolding strategies become essential for bridging learning gaps.

Cultural norms also strongly influence how students interact, participate, and perceive authority within the classroom. Some students may come from cultures that value collective participation, while others may be more accustomed to individual competition; likewise, attitudes toward questioning teachers, group discussions, or debate may differ across cultural groups. This requires teachers to be attentive in creating safe learning spaces where diverse participation styles are respected and equitable engagement is encouraged [14]. Beyond these challenges, multicultural classrooms create valuable opportunities for the development of intercultural competence. When effectively managed, they provide a platform for students to build global awareness, empathy, and collaborative skills that are essential for success in increasingly diverse professional and social environments [15]. Exposure to multiple cultural perspectives fosters critical thinking, challenges ethnocentric worldviews, and prepares students to operate in globalized contexts. However, without intentional strategies that promote inclusion, multicultural classrooms may also give rise to stereotypes, biases, and cultural misunderstandings. Such dynamics can contribute to exclusion, reinforce inequities, and negatively affect both student well-being and academic outcomes [16]. In some cases, unresolved cultural tensions may escalate into classroom conflict, further hindering collaboration and trust. Therefore, the implications of multicultural

classrooms are dual in nature: while they hold transformative potential for holistic education, they also present complex challenges that require deliberate and sustained institutional and pedagogical interventions.

6 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS UNDERPINNING INCLUSIVE PEDAGOGY

Inclusive pedagogy is underpinned by several theoretical frameworks that emphasize equity, learner diversity, and engagement. Each provides valuable perspectives on addressing barriers to learning and fostering inclusivity in diverse classrooms. However, for the purposes of this review, the discussion will focus specifically on Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT), as these frameworks most directly align with the principles of accessibility, cultural relevance, and equity in multicultural higher education contexts. Collectively, these theories provide a strong theoretical foundation for understanding inclusive pedagogy as a dynamic response to multicultural classrooms. When combined, these approaches demonstrate how inclusive pedagogy not only addresses structural and cognitive barriers to learning but also affirms cultural diversity, fostering equitable participation and meaningful engagement in heterogeneous educational settings.

6.1 Culturally Responsive Teaching in multi-cultural classrooms

Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) is a pedagogical approach that recognizes and leverages students' cultural knowledge, experiences, and identities as meaningful components of the learning process, fostering equity engagement and academic achievement in multicultural classroom [17]. By validating cultural backgrounds and aligning instruction with diverse learning styles, CRT enhances student motivation and creates more meaningful learning experiences, while also encouraging learners to critically interrogate social structures and develop global awareness [18]. In multicultural classrooms, where students may feel marginalized by dominant cultural norms, this recognition helps reduce alienation and fosters a sense of belonging. A major

challenge of CRT lies in the risk of stereotyping and oversimplification, as treating cultural knowledge as fixed or homogenous may inadvertently reinforce biases and neglect intra-group diversity [19]. Effective implementation also requires educators to develop deep cultural awareness, reflective practice, and adaptability, yet many institutions provide limited professional development in this area, resulting in practices that are often superficial or inconsistent [17]. Furthermore, systemic constraints such as standardised curricula, rigid assessment frameworks, and accountability pressures frequently conflict with CRT's flexible, student-centered ethos, making it difficult for educators to integrate culturally responsive strategies while meeting institutional requirements for uniformity and comparability [20].

6.2 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) in Multicultural Classrooms: Benefits and Implications

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) advocates for the creation of flexible learning environments that accommodate diverse learner needs through multiple means of representation, expression, and engagement [21]. However, its application raises important implications regarding cultural responsiveness, institutional readiness, and assessment practices. UDL promotes accessibility and equity by ensuring that course materials are accessible to students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds [22]. By allowing multiple means of representation such as visual, auditory, and interactive resources students who may struggle with language barriers or unfamiliar academic discourse gain alternative pathways to understanding [21;23]. This reduces inequities that often disadvantage multilingual learners in higher education. Secondly, UDL validates diverse learning preferences and educational traditions. In multicultural classrooms, learners bring varied cognitive styles shaped by cultural and prior schooling experiences. Through multiple means of expression such as written reports, oral presentations, or digital storytelling, UDL provides students with opportunities to demonstrate knowledge in ways that align with their strengths [17]. This flexibility enhances motivation, engagement, and academic achievement.

Additionally, UDL fosters empathy and global awareness by creating opportunities for cross-cultural interaction. Exposure to multiple modes of engagement encourages learners to appreciate diverse perspectives and strengthens intercultural competence, which is a central goal of education in a globalized context [24]. Despite these benefits, UDL in multicultural contexts presents several challenges. A critical concern lies in the risk of superficial application. [25] argued that providing content in different modalities does not automatically address deeper cultural biases embedded in curriculum design [25]. Moreover, institutional and resource constraints can hinder meaningful implementation. UDL often relies on technological tools, teacher training, and adaptable curricula. In resource-limited environments, especially in the Global South, such requirements may worsen inequities rather than reduce them [26]

6.3 Intersection of UDL and CRT in Fostering Inclusion, Engagement, and Equity

The intersection of UDL and CRT ensures that inclusion goes beyond simply providing access. As supported by [27], UDL reduces barriers to participation by anticipating diverse needs, while CRT validates students' cultural knowledge and integrates it into the learning process. Together, they foster deeper engagement by making content meaningful and responsive to learners' identities, thus enhancing motivation and participation. For example, offering multiple ways to demonstrate understanding (UDL) becomes more powerful when students are encouraged to express knowledge through culturally relevant modes of communication (CRT). Moreover, this integration advances equity by dismantling systemic biases that often marginalize students from underrepresented groups. UDL works to level the playing field by creating flexible pathways for learning, and CRT ensures that these pathways are culturally sustaining rather than assimilationist [7;27]. In practice, this may involve embedding diverse perspectives in course materials, designing assessments that allow for authentic and culturally grounded demonstrations of knowledge, and cultivating classroom norms that honour difference.

The synergy between UDL and CRT shifts the focus from a one-size-fits-all model of teaching to a dynamic approach where learner diversity is seen as an asset. This intersection equips educators to design learning environments that are not only accessible but also inclusive and socially just, thereby advancing both student engagement and educational equity.

7 CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE

Culturally Responsive Teaching is essential for fostering equity, engagement, and intercultural competence in multicultural classrooms. Its focus on cultural validation, relevant pedagogy, and critical thinking positions it as a transformative approach. However, CRT's success depends on reflective implementation that avoids stereotyping, is supported by institutional structures, and is coupled with continuous professional development. Like UDL, CRT is not a panacea; it must be integrated into a broader framework of inclusive and equitable pedagogy. On the other hand, UDL holds considerable promise for advancing equity and inclusivity in multicultural classrooms. Its emphasis on flexibility and learner-centered pedagogy aligns with the goals of culturally responsive education. However, UDL should not be misconstrued as a universal solution. Its effectiveness depends on being embedded within a culturally responsive framework that critically interrogates curricular content, teaching practices, and institutional structures. Without such a lens, UDL risks being reduced to a technical checklist rather than a transformative pedagogy.

Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) is essential for fostering equity, engagement, and intercultural competence in multicultural classrooms [12;17]. Its focus on cultural validation, relevant pedagogy, and critical thinking positions as a transformative approach [19]. However, CRT's success depends on reflective implementation that avoids stereotyping, is supported by institutional structures, and is coupled with continuous professional

development [28]. Like UDL, CRT is not a solution to all, it must be integrated into a broader framework of inclusive and equitable pedagogy [29]. On the other hand, UDL holds considerable promise for advancing equity and inclusivity in multicultural classrooms [21]. Its emphasis on flexibility and learner-centered pedagogy aligns with the goals of culturally responsive education [30]. However, UDL should not be misconstrued as a universal solution. Its effectiveness depends on being embedded within a culturally responsive framework that critically interrogates curricular content, teaching practices, and institutional structures [31]. Without such a lens, UDL risks being reduced to a technical checklist rather than a transformative pedagogy [25].

8 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The realities of multicultural classrooms highlight both the challenges and opportunities inherent in diverse learning environments. Differences in language, cultural norms, and learning preferences can create barriers to effective teaching and learning if not intentionally addressed. The integration of UDL and CRT provides a holistic framework to meet these challenges, ensuring that pedagogy is both accessible and culturally affirming. UDL guarantees that diverse learners have multiple pathways to access, engage with, and demonstrate knowledge, while CRT situates learning within students' cultural identities, validating their lived experiences. Where these frameworks intersect through inclusion, engagement, and equity, educators can design learning environments that not only remove structural barriers but also dismantle cultural ones. Ultimately, the synergy of UDL and CRT transforms multicultural classrooms into spaces where diversity is leveraged as an asset, fostering belonging, participation, and educational justice for all learners.

To strengthen inclusion and equity in multicultural classrooms, several key recommendations emerge from the literature. A systematic curriculum review is essential to ensure that diverse perspectives are meaningfully integrated into teaching and learning processes [24]. This should be complemented by ongoing professional development that builds educators' cultural competence and

equips them with strategies for engaging diverse learners [17]. Institutions should also provide targeted support services that address both the academic and socio-cultural needs of international students, thereby fostering a sense of belonging and easing transitional challenges [32]. In addition, inclusive assessment design is necessary to promote fairness and equity in evaluating student performance across cultural and linguistic differences [26]. Finally, these efforts must be reinforced by institutional policies that prioritise inclusivity and diversity as core values of higher education [33]. Through sustained commitment and collaboration, higher education institutions can create empowering environments where all students thrive and develop the intercultural skills necessary for global citizenship.

References

1. Castles, S., de Haas, H., & Miller, M. J. The age of migration: International population movements in the modern world (6th ed.). Red Globe Press. (2019).
2. Giddens, A. Runaway world: How globalization is reshaping our lives. Routledge. (2003).
3. UNESCO. Global education monitoring report 2019: Migration, displacement, and education – Building bridges, not walls. UNESCO Publishing. (2018)
4. Spaul, N. Equity and efficiency in South African primary schools. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 66, 109–118. (2019).
5. Taylor, N. Unequal education: Addressing South Africa's schooling crisis. *Education as Change*, 25(1), 1–14. (2021).
6. Van der Berg, S. Learning losses and educational inequalities in South Africa. Stellenbosch Economic Working Papers, 18/2020. (2020).
7. Smith, F. G. (2012). Analyzing a college course that adheres to the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 31-61.

8. Makoele, T. M. Pedagogy of inclusion: A quest for inclusive teaching and learning. *Mediterranean journal of social sciences*, 5(20), 1259-1267. (2014).
9. Dovemark, M., & Samuelsson, J. Inclusive pedagogy and classroom diversity: Possibilities and challenges. *Education Inquiry*, 7(4), 271-289. (2016).
10. Fornauf, B. S., & Erickson, J. D. (2020). Toward an inclusive pedagogy through universal design for learning in higher education: A review of the literature. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 33(2), 183-199
11. Nieto, S. *The light in their eyes: Creating multicultural learning communities*. Teachers College Press. (2010).
12. Ladson-Billings, G. *Culturally relevant pedagogy: Asking a different question*. Teachers College Press. (2021).
13. Cummins, J. Fluency, freedom, and fairness: Language policy in education. *Multilingual Matters*.
14. Gay, G. *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). (2017). Teachers College Press. . (2018).
15. Banks, J. A. *An introduction to multicultural education* (6th ed.). Pearson. (2019).
16. Nieto, S., & Bode, P. *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (7th ed.). Pearson. (2018).
17. Gay, G. *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press. (2018).
18. Ladson-Billings, G. Culturally relevant pedagogy 2.0: Aka the remix. *Harvard Educational Review*, 84(1), 74–84. (2014).
19. Hammond, Z. (2015). *Culturally responsive teaching and the brain: Promoting authentic engagement and rigor among culturally and linguistically diverse students*. Corwin.

20. Howard, T. C. *Why race and culture matter in schools: Closing the achievement gap in America's classrooms*. Teachers College Press. (2016).
21. Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. *Universal design for learning: Theory and practice*. CAST Professional Publishing. (2014).
22. Kennette, L. N., & Wilson, N. A. Universal design for learning (UDL). *Journal of Effective Teaching in Higher Education*, 2(1). (2019).
23. Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(3), 39–56. (2016).
24. Banks, J. A. *Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching* (6th ed.). Routledge. (2015).
25. Kieran, L., & Anderson, C. Connecting Universal Design for Learning with culturally responsive teaching. *Education and Urban Society*, 51(9), 1202–1216. (2019).
26. Hockings, C. *Inclusive learning and teaching in higher education: a synthesis of research*. EvidenceNet. (2010).
27. Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3&4), 85-118. (2014).
28. Villegas, A. M., & Lucas, T. *Educating culturally responsive teachers: A coherent approach*. SUNY Press. (2022).
29. Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives* (10th ed.). Wiley. (2019).
30. CAST. *Universal Design for Learning guidelines version 2.2*. CAST. (2018).
31. Courey, S. J., Tappe, P., Siker, J., & LePage, P. Improved lesson planning with Universal Design for Learning (UDL). *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 36(1), 7–27. (2013).

32. Smith, L., & Khawaja, N. G. A review of the acculturation experiences of international students. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 35(6), 699-713. (2011).
33. Luescher-Mamashela, T. M. International students in South African universities: Policy and practice. *Higher Education*, 70, 151-166. (2015).

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

